

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	"Development in this location will be of good quality and design...Development in this location, particularly that on land which is being proposed to be released from the Green Belt, will seek to identify opportunities to protect and enhance the natural and historic environments to improve the local character." This shouldn't be forgotten, this is equally as important as a healthy economy, if not more so. Has the plan been tested against the Building with Nature standards? if not, it should be, to identify precisely how the proposal could fit into the environment without ignoring landscape or ecology or the effects on local people.
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	Very disappointing. Regarding site JPA6: the B5239 provides a green route from Aspull towards Bolton and Westhoughton. The area JPA6 should be reduced in size to provide a broad visual and physical landscape buffer between the B5239 and the edge of the employment site. I suggest Dodd Lane is adopted as the boundary of JPA6, to provide a broad landscape buffer and potential for habitat connectivity. Without this buffer, there will be no noticeable separation of Aspull from Westhoughton as experienced by people travelling through the area. i.e. it would remove the function of the

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	greenbelt. In addition, the M61 should remain within a greenbelt corridor, to separate Wigan from Bolton, to create a breathing space and continued perception of open space to anyone using the public rights of way or highways in the area. The space between the A6 and the M61 should not be built on. Why is a second link road required to J6 of M61 when the A6 already has this function from existing business areas? Wouldn't it put pressure on the natural environment e.g. Borsdane Wood?
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Green infrastructure has more functions than the simplistic approach outlined in the policy. There is no consideration of protecting its other functions e.g. ecosystem services, recreational functions, climate change functions, natural capital.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	The policy/plan should have been informed by and tested against the Building with Nature standards or criteria to assess whether it will provide, promote and conserve good quality green infrastructure.
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I feel that the landscape character has been ignored in the development of the economic strategy for Greater Manchester. The landscape should be given more weight in the development of the areas. There are no visual and landscape buffers considered within the strategic plans for northwest or northeast areas. Very disappointing.
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 5 Uplands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The Plan has not been properly researched. National Standards have been developed, together with Natural England"s. They are the Building with Nature Standards for green infrastructure. Greater Manchester should use these standards. They have been in existence since 2019. There is no excuse not to use them.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Greater Manchester should use the Building with Nature Standards.
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Sound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant</b>	I am very disappointed with the loss of Greenbelt. I am pleased with the additional areas though they do not compensate for the lost areas.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Crompton
<b>Given Name</b>	Faith
<b>Person ID</b>	1287637
<b>Title</b>	JPA 6: West of Wingates / M61 Junction 6
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No